| | Case 1:25-cv-00077-JLT-SKO Docur | ment 15 | Filed 12/29/25 | Page 1 of 2 | | | | |
|--------|--|---------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 8 | LINITED ST | ATES DI | CTDICT CAUDT | | | | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | | | |
| 10 | EASTERNOI | BIRICI | OF CALIFORNIA | · | | | | |
| 11 | MICAH TAFARI KEYES-ANDERSON | ı Cas | e No.: 1:25-cv-000 | 77-SKO | | | | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | `` | DER ADOPTING I | | | | | |
| 13 | V. | RE | COMMENDATION | | | | | |
| 14 | A. CHAPA, et al., | (Do | ocs. 12, 14) | | | | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff seeks to hold defendants liable for violations of his civil rights. Following | | | | | | | |
| 18 | screening, and Plaintiff's election to proceed on the claims found cognizable by the Court, the | | | | | | | |
| 19 | assigned magistrate judge recommended this action proceed only on Plaintiff's Eighth | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Amendment excessive force claims against Defendants Chapa, Luna, Salazar, Aguilar, Gamboa- | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Campos, Bravo-Rodriguez, Valero, and Cruz; the remaining claims and defendants to be | | | | | | | |
| 22 | dismissed. (Doc. 14.) The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and | | | | | | | |
| 23 | notified him that any objections were due within 14 days. (Id. at 2.) The Court advised him that | | | | | | | |
| 24 | the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of certain rights | | | | | | | |
| 25 | on appeal." (Id. at 3, citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff | | | | | | | |
| 26 | did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed. | | | | | | | |
| 27 | According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a de novo review of this case. | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations | | | | | | | |

| | Case 1:25- | -cv-00077-JLT-SKO | Document 15 | Filed 12/29/25 | Page 2 of 2 | | | |
|-----------|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | are supported by the record and proper analysis. | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Thus, the Court ORDERS : | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 1. | The Findings and Reco | ommendations issu | ned November 26, 2 | 025 (Doc. 14) are | | | |
| 4 | | ADOPTED in full. | | | | | | |
| 5 | 2. | This action PROCEE | DS only on Plainti | ff's Eighth Amendr | ment excessive force | | | |
| 6 | | claims against Eighth | Amendment exces | sive force claims ag | gainst Defendants Chapa | | | |
| 7 | Luna, Salazar, Aguilar, Gamboa-Campos, Bravo-Rodriguez, Valero, and Cruz. | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 3. | Any remaining claims | in Plaintiff's com | plaint are DISMISS | SED. | | | |
| 9 | 4. | 4. Defendants D. Diaz and J. Escutia are DISMISSED from the action. | | | | | | |
| 10 | 5. | The Clerk of Court is o | directed to update | the docket and term | inate D. Diaz and J. | | | |
| 11 | | Escutia as defendants. | | | | | | |
| 12 | IT IS SO | ODDEDED | | | | | | |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Dated | December 26, 20 | <u>025</u> | UNITED STA | TES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | |
| 15 | | | | V | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 27 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>41</i> | | | | | | | | |